arXiv:1211.4404v1 [math.PR] 19 Nov 2012

CORRIGENDUM TO ‘CONVERGENCE OF INVARIANT MEASURES FOR SINGULAR STOCHASTIC DIFFUSION EQUATIONS’

IOANA CIOTIR

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration,
“Al. I. Cuza” University, Bd. Carol no. 9–11, Iaşi, Romania

JONAS M. TÖLLE

Institut für Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin (MA 7-5)
Straße des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany

Abstract. We correct a few errors that appeared in [Convergence of invariant measures for singular stochastic diffusion equations, Stochastic Process. Appl. **122** (2012), no. 4, 1998–2017] by I. Ciotir and J.M. Tölle.

We have decided to write this corrigendum because of the emergence of a new result, credited to H. Brézis, and worked out by V. Barbu and M. Röckner [BR12, Section 8, Appendix 1]. In our work [CT12], we claim and make use of the validity of [BDPR09, Eq. (4.19)] (e.g. as the necessary ingredient for [CT12, “Step 1” in the proof of Theorem 4.4, p. 2011]). The basic arguments to verify [BDPR09, Eq. (4.19)] (which is (0.1) below) turned out to be wrong, even for smooth domains. Let us present the result of H. Brézis and discuss the consequences for our work. For a detailed proof, we refer the reader to [BR12, Section 8, Appendix 1].

Proposition 0.1. *Let Λ be a bounded, convex domain of $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geqslant 1$, with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Lambda$ of class C^2. Let $J_n = (1 - \frac{\Delta}{n})^{-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be the resolvent of the Dirichlet Laplacian $(-\Delta, D(-\Delta))$, where $D(-\Delta) = H_0^1(\Lambda) \cap H^2(\Lambda)$. Then*

$$\int_\Lambda |\nabla J_n(u)|\, d\xi \leqslant \int_\Lambda |\nabla u|\, d\xi, \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Lambda),\ n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{0.1}$$

Proof. See [BR12, Proposition 8.1, Remark 8.4]. □

The main consequence of Proposition 0.1, or, to be more precise, of the lack of a proof for a more general situation, is that Theorem 4.4 in [CT12] merely holds for bounded, convex domains Λ with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Lambda$ of class C^2 and not (yet), as claimed by us, for a general Lipschitz boundary.

At another point of our work, in the proof of [CT12, Theorem 3.2], we use a Krylov-Bogoliubov-type argument for the convergence of invariant measures. The passage to the limit, however, remains nebulous. Let us remark that the statement

---

E-mail addresses: `ioana.ciotir@feaa.uaic.ro`, `jonasmtoelle@gmail.com`.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60H15; 35K67, 37L40, 49J45.

Key words and phrases. Stochastic evolution equation, stochastic diffusion equation, p-Laplace equation, 1-Laplace equation, total variation flow, fast diffusion equation, ergodic semigroup, unique invariant measure, variational convergence, e-property.

of [CT12, Theorem 3.2] follows, combined with the (uniform) tightness of the invariant measures proved in [CT12, proof of Theorem 3.2], from the more general Proposition 0.2 below.

Let $(\mathscr{X}, d)$ be a Polish space with complete metric d.

Proposition 0.2. *Let $\{P_t^n\}_{t\geqslant 0}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be Feller semigroups on $(\mathscr{X}, d)$ with invariant measures μ_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, respectively. Suppose that $\{\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has a weakly converging subsequence and $\{P_t^n\}$ converges to a Feller semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ in the following sense: For all $t \geqslant 0$, $\psi \in \mathrm{Lip}_b(\mathscr{X})$, $x \in \mathscr{X}$ we have that*

$$\lim_n P_t^n \psi(x) = P_t \psi(x).$$

Suppose that the $\{P_t^n\}$ have a uniform "Lipschitz-type" e-property, *that is,*

$$\exists C > 0 : \ \forall \psi \in \mathrm{Lip}_b(\mathscr{X}),\ \forall x \in \mathscr{X}\ \forall z \in \mathscr{X}, \\ \forall t \geqslant 0,\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad |P_t^n \psi(x) - P_t^n \psi(z)| \leqslant C \,\mathrm{Lip}(\psi)\, d(x,z).$$

If $\{P_t\}$ admits a unique invariant measure μ, then $\mu_n \to \mu$ weakly.

Proof. Let $\{\mu_{n_k}\}$ be a subsequence of $\{\mu_n\}$ such that $\mu_{n_k} \to \mu_0$ weakly as $k \to \infty$ where μ_0 is some probability measure. For simplicity, let us just write $\{\mu_n\}$. Recall that weak convergence of probability measures is metrizable with the so-called *bounded Lipschitz metric* defined by

$$\beta(\nu_1, \nu_2) := \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathscr{X}} \psi \, d(\nu_1 - \nu_2) \right| \ \middle| \ \psi \in \mathrm{Lip}_b(\mathscr{X}),\ \|\psi\|_\infty + \mathrm{Lip}(\psi) \leqslant 1 \right\},$$

compare with [vdVW96, 1.12, pp. 73/74].

If we can prove that μ_0 is an invariant measure of $\{P_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$, we are done and the whole sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ converges to $\mu = \mu_0$. Let $\psi \in \mathrm{Lip}_b(\mathscr{X})$, $t \geqslant 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathscr{X}} \psi \, d\mu_0 - \int_{\mathscr{X}} P_t \psi \, d\mu_0 \right| \\ \leqslant &\left| \int_{\mathscr{X}} \psi \, d\mu_0 - \int_{\mathscr{X}} P_t^n \psi \, d\mu_n \right| + \left| \int_{\mathscr{X}} P_t^n \psi \, d\mu_n - \int_{\mathscr{X}} P_t^n \psi \, d\mu_0 \right| \\ &+ \left| \int_{\mathscr{X}} P_t^n \psi \, d\mu_0 - \int_{\mathscr{X}} P_t \psi \, d\mu_0 \right| \end{aligned}$$

By invariance, the first term equals

$$\left| \int_{\mathscr{X}} \psi \, d\mu_0 - \int_{\mathscr{X}} \psi \, d\mu_n \right|$$

and hence converges to zero as $n \to \infty$.

The third term converges to zero by the convergence of semigroups and Lebesgue's dominated convergence, since the integrand is bounded by $2\|\psi\|_\infty$.

Let us investigate the second term:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathscr{X}} P_t^n \psi \, d\mu_n - \int_{\mathscr{X}} P_t^n \psi \, d\mu_0 \right| \\ \leqslant &\beta(\mu_n, \mu_0) \left[\|P_t^n \psi\|_\infty + \mathrm{Lip}(P_t^n \psi) \right] \\ \leqslant &\beta(\mu_n, \mu_0) \left[\|\psi\|_\infty + C \,\mathrm{Lip}(\psi) \right] \\ \longrightarrow &\, 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\left| \int_{\mathscr{X}} \psi \, d\mu_0 - \int_{\mathscr{X}} P_t \psi \, d\mu_0 \right| = 0$ for all $\psi \in \mathrm{Lip}_b(\mathscr{X})$ and all $t \geqslant 0$ and so μ_0 is invariant for $\{P_t\}$. ☐

Of course in our work, $(\mathscr{X}, d)$ is the Hilbert space $L^2(\Lambda)$ or $H^{-1}(\Lambda)$. We note that in [CT12], the Lipschitz-type e-property (with $C = 1$) can be verified for the approximating semigroups by Itō’s formula and the monotonicity of the operators involved (not so for the case $p = 1$, which is not needed, though).

Furthermore, we have conjectured the convergence of invariant measures for the case $p = 1$, namely in [CT12, Conjecture 4.5]. Now, the conjecture has been solved by B. Gess and the second-named author; see [GT11, Section 7].

The use of the wrong energy in [BDPR09], as indicated by us in [CT12, Remark 4.3], was corrected by V. Barbu, G. Da Prato and M. Röckner in [BDPR12]. In fact, the lower semi-continuous envelope of the 1-Laplacian energy is well-studied and characterized in e.g. [ABM06, Proposition 11.3.2, p. 438].

Some typographical errors.

- In [CT12], on p. 2000, the sixth line from the bottom, $(W^{1,p})^*$ should clearly appear as a subscript.
- In [CT12, Definition 4.2, p. 2008], it should read u instead of x in the third line.
- In [CT12, Remark 4.3, p. 2009], in line 7 it should read

  $$\underline{\lim}_{n} \Psi(u_n) = \mathrm{Per}(\Lambda, \mathbb{R}^d) < +\infty = \Psi(\mathbb{1}_\Lambda),$$

  where $\mathrm{Per}(\Lambda, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is the *perimeter.* In particular, for dimension $d = 1$, this implies

  $$\underline{\lim}_{n} \Psi(u_n) = 2 < +\infty = \Psi(\mathbb{1}_\Lambda).$$
- At the end of [CT12, proof of Lemma 4.7, p. 2010], it should read Ψ^{p}_{ε}’s instead of Ψ^{p}_{ε}ś.

References

[ABM06] H. Attouch, G. Buttazzo, and G. Michaille, *Variational analysis in Sobolev and BV spaces: applications to PDEs and optimization*, MPS-SIAM series on optimization, vol. 6, SIAM and MPS, Philadelphia, 2006.

[BDPR09] V. Barbu, G. Da Prato, and M. Röckner, *Stochastic nonlinear diffusion equations with singular diffusivity*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **41** (2009), no. 3, 1106–1120.

[BDPR12] V. Barbu, G. Da Prato, and M. Röckner, *Addendum to: Stochastic nonlinear diffusion equations with singular diffusivity*, Preprint (2012), 6 pp., BiBoS Preprint 12-02-396, `http://www.physik.uni-bielefeld.de/bibos/preprints/12-02-396.pdf`.

[BR12] V. Barbu and M. Röckner, *Stochastic variational inequalities and applications to the total variation flow perturbed by linear multiplicative noise*, Preprint (2012), 46 pp., `http://arxiv.org/abs/1209.0351`.

[CT12] I. Ciotir and J. M. Tölle, *Convergence of invariant measures for singular stochastic diffusion equations*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. **122** (2012), no. 4, 1998–2017.

[GT11] B. Gess and J. M. Tölle, *Multi-valued, singular stochastic evolution inclusions*, Preprint (2011), 34 pp., `http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.5672`.

[vdVW96] A. W. van der Vaart and J. A. Wellner, *Weak convergence and empirical processes*, Springer Series in Statistics, Springer, 1996.